## NOTES ON SOME FLORIDA FISHES.

## By G. HROWN GOODE aud TARLETON RI, BEAN.

At rarions times, in the publications of the United States National Musemm and elsewhere, the validity of some species of Florida fishes described by us has been called in question by Professors Jordan and Gilbert, and several of our names have been referred to the synonymy of older species without adequate show of proof. In a preceding paper Professor Jordan reiterates some of these statements, and we now feel called upon to give our reasons for adhering to the names proposed by us. The fishes immediately concerning us at present are the followng: Lutjanus stcarnsii, Lutjanus blackfordii, and Coulolatilus microps. In addition to these three, we have studied Sporus pagrus and Xyrichthys "lineatus," upon which we have some remarks to make.

1. Lutjauns stearnsii Goode \& Bean.

Lutjames stemmsii Guode \& Bran, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mns., I, 1878, p. 179; Jordan \& Gilbert, Syn. Fish., N. A., 1883, p. 549.
Lutjums caballerote Poey (specimen in U. S. National Museum, number 98(:2).
?? Anthias caballerote Scuneider, Bloch Syst. Ichth., 1801, p. 310.
We have been aware for some time that the species of Lutjanus called stearnsii by us occms in the West Indies, and upon comparison of our type with an example of caballerote, as determined by Poey, we fiud that the two are identical. We cannot understand, however, the apparent ease with which Schneider's description has been interpreted; to us it is completely useless for the purposes of identification. We prefer to use the name stearnsii for the present, and until one of the older nimes, cynodon or griseus, is demoustrated as applying to our species.

The example received from Professor Poey, measurements of which are given farther on, exhibits the following amoug other characters:

There are only eight developed gill-rakers on the finst arch, one above and seven below the angle; the longest is one-lalf as long as the eye. There are seven rows of scales on the cheeks. 'The single patch of lingual teeth is twice as long as it is broad. The vomerines are in a triangular patch on the head, witlı a long, narrow backward extension. The palatines are in a broad band. The scales extend mpon the membranes of the dorsal, anal, and candal fins for about one-half their height, or rather more on the candal. There are two very strong eanines in the upper jaw, and two much smaller ones between these and the symphysis. The mandible is withont enlarged canines.

The edge of the spinons dorsal membrane is black. The candal has a narrow black margin. The incheded portion of the maxilla is brown. The scales of the body below the iateral line have median golden stripes, as in some species of Mugil.

## Measurements.

Species, Lutyanus caballerote Poey.


## 2. Lutjanus blackfordii Goode \& Bean.

Intjamus bluchfordii Goode \& Bean, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mns., I, 1878, p. 1r6, (full deseription of adult); II, 1879, lp. 137, 1:38 (characters and measurements of young) ; Goone, Gane Fishes N. A., 1878, p. 16, with colored plate. Jombai © Gifbert, Syn. Fish. N. A., 18z:3, p. 549.
Lutjamus campenchiemus Jordan of Ghbiert, 1. e., 1 . 971 (not Mesoprion rampeachanis Poey, Mem. Cobl., II, 1ebo, p. 149) ; Jomban, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mns., VII, 1eet, p. 3 m.
When we described the Red Snapper as a new species muder the name Lutjanus blackifordii we were in possession of all the information concern-
ing Poey's campeachianus that was then arailable, and no one has, since that time, added anything but conjecture upon the relation of the Gulf form to the original of Poes's description. Indeed it is by no means certain that the type of that deseription is in existence. There is some gromed for the belief that the specimen now purporting to be the basis of Poey's accomnt is a later, erroneous identification of the Red Snapper. Any one who will compare our measurements of Lutjamus blackjordii on page 179 of Vol. I and 138 of Vol. II of the Proceedings above referred to with the deseription of $L$. campeachianus will observe the important diserepancies between our fish and that of Poey.

It will be found that the eye of $L$. campeachianus is very much larger, and that the scales above the lateral line are much more numerous than in L. blackifordii. We are not concerned with Poey's recent interpretation of the Red Snapper, and we do not consider that this should be allowed to enter inte the the disenssion. In Lutjanus blackfordii we have a speeies fully described and aceurately figured. It is quite as impossible to reconcile our species with the deseription of L. campeachianus now as it was six years ago, and we camot see the supposed neeessity of uniting the two on the basis of our present knowledge.

## 3. Caulolatilus microps Goode \& Bean.

The following notes were obtained from an example of $C$. chrysops in the British Museum:

The length of the longest gill-raker is $4 \frac{1}{2}$ millimeters. The opereular spine is short, but sharp. The preoperculum is finely denticulated on its posterior margin. The black axillary spot is not quite solong as the pupil. The twenty-first ray of the dorsal is somewhat produced, as well as the twentieth anal ray; and these rays are only once divided and not twice, like all the others. If the seales be counted obliquely upward and forward from the anal origin to the lateral line, we shall find 31 or 32 rows ; if comnted upward and backward, 28 .

The most important differences between $C$. microps and $C$. chrysops will be observed in (1) the length of the snout, (2) the length of the dorsal spines and rays, (3) the length of the longest anal rays, (4) the length of the paired fins, and (5) the number of scales in the lateral line. We cannot attribute these discrepancies to a difference in age, and we believe that notling is to be gained by attempting to estimate the relations of the speeies by an examination of the literature alone. It will be best to consider microps as an established species until its claim to distinctness can be more successfully controverted.

An examination of the table of measurements which follows will show the relations of the West Indian and Gulf forms under discussion. We believe that three elearly marked speeies are indicated.

Measurements of species of Comblatilus.

|  | C. micreps, 20971. <br> Pensacola, Fla. |  | C. chrysops (Brit.Mus.). larhadues. |  | C. cyamops, 4750. Cuba. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millimeters. | 100 ths of leugth. | Millimeters. | 100ths of length. | Millimeters. | 100ths of leagth. |
| Length to origin of middle candal rays. | 620 |  | 230 |  | 330 |  |
| Body: |  |  |  | 28.6 |  |  |
| Greatest width. |  | 14.5 |  | 28.6 |  | 12 |
| Height at rentrals |  | 28 |  | 28 |  | 24.3 |
| Least height of tail |  | 8 |  | 8 |  | 7 |
| Length of caudal peduncle. |  | 10 |  |  |  | 11 |
| Head : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greatest length.............. |  | 28 |  | 28.6 |  | $\stackrel{28}{15}$ |
| Distance from snont to nape |  |  |  | 13.4 |  | 15 |
| Greatest width. |  | 14 |  | 13.4 |  | 13.5 |
| $W$ Wdih of interorbital area |  | 7 |  | 8.6 |  | 8.5 |
| Length of snout |  | 14 |  | 8 |  | 10 |
| Length of maxillary |  | 12.5 |  | - *10.7 |  | 10.3 |
| Length of mandible |  | 13 |  | 12.4 |  | 12.5 |
| Distance from snout to center of oubit |  | 14.7 |  |  |  | 11 |
| Diameter of orbit. |  | 4.8 |  | 6.9 |  | 7.5 |
| Dorsal (spinous) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance trom snout. |  | 34 |  | 32.4 |  | 32.5 |
| Length of uase. |  | 12.5 |  | 13.4 |  | 13 |
| Length of tirst spine. |  | 3.5 |  | 5 |  | 5 |
| Length of second spine |  | 5, 5 |  | 6.9 |  | 6 |
| Length of last spine |  | 7.5 |  | 10 |  | 9.5 |
| Dorsal (soft): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Length of base .... |  | 44.5 |  | 48.6 |  |  |
| Length of tirst ray.. |  | 8.5 |  | 11 |  | 10.5 |
| Length of tast ray. |  | 4.5 |  | 5 |  | 5 |
| Anal : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance from snout. |  | 55 |  | 54.5 |  | 51.5 |
| Length of base. |  | 35.5 |  | 39.7 |  | 37.5 |
| Length of first spine |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Length of first ray. |  | 6 |  | 7.6 |  | 7.5 |
| Length of longest ray |  | 8.5 |  | 12.4 |  | 12 |
| Length of last ray |  | 4.5 |  | 4.8 |  | 5 |
| Caudal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Length of middle rays.. |  | ${ }_{16}^{11.5}$ |  | 14.8 |  | 11.5 |
| Peetoral: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance from snout. |  | 30.5 |  | 28 |  | 27.5 |
| Length |  | 23 |  | 27.6 |  | 26 |
| Ventral: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance from snout. |  | 34.5 |  | 32 |  | 31 |
| Length |  | 14 |  | 17 |  | 16 |
| Brauctiostegals | VI |  |  |  | VI |  |
| Dorsal | VII, 25 |  | VII, 23 |  | VII, 24 |  |
| Anal. | I, 23 |  | 1, 22 |  | 1, 22 |  |
| Peetoral | i, 16 |  |  |  | i, 15 |  |
| Yentral. | 1.5 |  | 1,5 |  | I', 5 |  |
| Number of scales in lateral line | Ab't 120 |  | 100 |  | 108 |  |
| Number of transverse rows above lateral line | 13 |  | 11 |  | 10 |  |
| Number of transverse rows below lateral line | 35 |  | 32 |  | 25 |  |
| Number of gill-rakers |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* This means the upper jaw ; the maxilla alone is 9.6.


## 4. Xyrichthys psittacus (L.) Goode \& Bean.

Coryphena pittacus Linvé, Syst. Nat., ed. xii, 1766, p. 448. Coryphera lineatu Gmelin, Syst. Nat.
The type of Coryphena psittreus, labeled by Linne, and marked No. 20 (evidently the No. 20 refered to on page 313 , Correspondence with Limmé by Garden, as a fish of surpassing beanty), is the species which we have for some time known as Jyrichthys lineatus. Linnés descrip-
tion agrees fully with this example except in the count of the dorsal, which. for some unknomn reason, is $\frac{9}{29}$ instead of $\frac{9}{22}$, as Limé would have made it. All the other fin-rays are correctly given.

The length of the trpe to the caudal base is 151 millimeters, and the characters are as follows: D. $1 \times, 12$; A. III, 12, the last of the dorsal and anal rays double; V. $6 ;$ P. 11; C. 14 ; scales 2 above lateral line; tubes about -4 in all.

The lateral line is interrupted under the 10th ray of the dorsal; the accessory line begins on the median line, under the end of the upper lateral line, and consists of fire short tubes.

The height is one-third of the length to candal base; the head onefourth. The ere is about equal in length to the upper jatr, and is placed at the top of the head.

Coryphena psittacus has been supposed to be a Pseudosarns (Giinther, Cat. Fish. Brit. Mus:, IT, 295), but we must now find another name for the species to which the Linnæan name has been wrongls applied.

## 5. Sparus pagrus Linné.

Pagrus argenteus Goode © Bean, Proc. L. S. Nat. Mus., II, 1E79, P. 133. Sparur pagrus Jordan \& Gilbert, Syn. Fish. N. A., 1853, p. 5 5̈b.
We have again examined the Gulf Porgee, and compared it directly with a specimen of about equal size which was recently obtained from Leghorn. Although there is some difference in the general appearance of the two forms, we cannot distingush them as separate species. The life colors we have not observed, but so far as the condition of the two in spirits is concerned tee beliere that the subjoined table of measurements, together with the remarks now to follow, will substantiate our original statement of the identity of the tro.

The example from Leghorn has 17 gill-rakers on the first arch, 9 of which are below the angle; it bas 7 rows of scales on the cheeks; 4 canines in the front of the upper jaw; 6 in the front of the lower jaw; 2 rows of large molars in the upper jaw, and a short, imperfect inner rorr, consisting of a few small molars dereloped only anteriorly; 2 rows of molars in the lower jaw, with an accessory inner row of minute ones similar to those in the upper jatr.

The Pensacola specimen also has 17 gill-rakers on the first arch, 8 to 9 of them below the angle.

It seems almost unnecessary to add more than to call attention to the close correspondence in the measurements of the two individuals which tre hare recently compared.

## Measurements.

Species, Sparts pagrits Limé.


